

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,748	12/06/2001	Craig A. Paulsen	IGT1P339/P-659	6019
22434 7590 07/10/2007 BEYER WEAVER LLP		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 70250			LANEAU, RONALD	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/008,748	PAULSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ronald Laneau	3714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	- ''						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 60-72 and 74-77 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 60-72,74-77 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3714

Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner agrees with Applicant that the Application was not under the Final rejection and therefore the Advisory action was sent in error. The amendment filed on 3/6/06 has been entered. Claims 74 and 75 are amended, new claims 76 and 77 are added and claims 60-72 and 74-77 are now remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 60-72 and 74-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The newly added limitation in the independent claims "said candle not requiring removable colored plastic inserts for changing the color that is displayed" is not disclosed in the Specifications. Applicant is required to point out the exact pages and lines where this limitation is disclosed in the Specification.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/008,748

Art Unit: 3714

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 60-72 and 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,605,506 to Hoorn et al. (Hoorn) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,897,624 to Lys et al (Lys) and Irving US Patent 3,987,401. The previous office action is relevant and incorporated herein. Hoorn teaches a gaming machine (4:48-49), a user input mechanism (4:49-50), an external visual indicator providing illumination in multiple colors (5: 1-13), the colors are illuminated in a controlled fashion as a result of different events (5:1-13) such as jackpots (Abstract) and requirements for service (5:8-12), the external visual indicator is a cylindrically shaped electronic candle (Fig. 3), the customized illumination pattern is a two-stage candle with different light sources (5:41-43). A processor controlling game input and illumination output is inherent with gaming machines and is supported through the disclosure of the gaming machine maybe any variety of computer (3:60-63). Hoorn does not teach using LEDs or illumination patterns using the LEDs. Instead Horn teaches and provides motivation to find other light sources in column 6:18-24 that regardless of the means by which the top and bottom stages are colored, the particular colors of the top and bottom stages will generally be different and chosen according to a casino operator's preference. In an analogous invention to Lys therein is disclosed an intelligent lighting device that can receive signals and change the illumination conditions as a result of the received signals. The lighting device can change hue, saturation, and brightness as a response to received signals. One example of using such a lighting device is to display particular colors as a response to certain events (abstract). Column 6 discloses how the LCD device may be controlled and the transmission of the control. Column 9 discloses that the illumination source may be anything. Column 11 discloses how the combination of red green and blue LEDs can be controlled to provide different lighting effects. Column 12:20-35 discloses Application/Control Number: 10/008,748

Art Unit: 3714

that the lighting device may be any shape, size, shading, material or selected for its light transmitting properties. Column 18 discloses control with PWM. Columns 27-30 disclose how a LCD screen may change color using the control system of the invention by changing the backlighting in accordance with a condition The reference to Irving is added to the combination to provide increased motivation for the combination to teach what has been long known in the art with respect to the replacement of incandescent light bulbs with LED's. Specifically column 1 background states "Light emitting diodes are replacing light bulbs in modern indicating systems. These diodes are known for their long life and, as manufacturers develop LED's of various colors, will prove ideal as indicators. One manufacturer, Monsanto, sells a part (part no. MV5491) having a red and a green LED in the same translucent package. The LED's are wired in parallel and reverse polarity oriented. When current flows through the part in one direction it emits red light, green light being emitted with a reverse current flow. This is one of many parts which is the product of a modern technology and which would be ideally suited in a variety of indicator applications." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hoorn to replace the current illumination display for an inexpensive LED light display using the motivation provided in Hoorn that regardless of the means by which the top and bottom stages are colored, the particular colors of the top and bottom stages will generally be different and chosen according to a casino operator's preference using the motivation provided above and added by the inclusion of the reference to Irving which defines the level of ordinary skill.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/008,748

Art Unit: 3714

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau

Primary Examiner

Amold Janeau

Art Unit 3714

6/25/07

Page 6

rl